



Abstract: 4621

Scientific Abstracts > Chronic Pain

# OSCILLATORY CHANGES IN DISTINCT CORTICAL AREAS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH ACUTE PAIN RESPONSES IN CHRONIC PAIN PATIENTS

Mika Rockholt, George Kenefati, Deborah Ok, Michael McCartin, Qiaosheng Zhang, Guanghao Sun, Julia Maslinski, Aaron Wang, Baldwin Chen, Zhe Sage Chen, Jing Wang, Lisa Doan  
NYU Langone Health

## Introduction

Chronic pain negatively impacts a range of sensory and affective behaviors, such as causing hypersensitivity at the site of injury as well as being associated with more intense pain-aversive experience at anatomically unrelated sites. As the mechanisms distinguishing hypersensitivity from generalized site-nonspecific enhancement in the aversive response to nociceptive inputs are not well-known, we conducted this study to evaluate the electrophysiological and phenotypic changes in recorded electroencephalographic (EEG) data, with the overarching goal to better understand the mechanisms behind chronic pain.

## Materials and Methods

The study was approved by the New York University Grossman School of Medicine Institutional Review Board and conducted in accordance with the latest version of the Declaration of Helsinki. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to study enrollment. All participants were blindfolded during the EEG recordings. High-density EEG (64 channels) was recorded during resting-state and while applying mechanical stimuli (pinpricks with forces of 32 mN and 256 mN) to the lower back and to the dorsum of the hand. Subjective pain scores (0-10) were reported. All behavioral and neural data was analyzed using various statistical and programming tools and source localization algorithms were used to map out cortical areas associated with pain-processing for the site-specific and anatomically non-specific hyperalgesia. EEG powers, measuring EEG theta (4-8 Hz), beta (8-15 Hz), alpha (15-30 Hz), low-gamma (30-60 Hz), and high-gamma (60-100 Hz) bands, were quantitated in CLBP subjects and in control participants and non-parametric Mann-Whitney U Test was used for hypothesis testing to compare groups.

## Results/Case Report

In this observational cross-sectional study, EEG was recorded in age and gender-matched patients with chronic low back pain, CLBP (n = 15), and pain-free control subjects (n = 15). The majority of all participants were men (73%), with a mean age of 49.9 with SD 18.3 for CLBP subjects and 50.5 with SD 14.6 for pain-free controls. Both groups reported higher pain scores with the 256 mN stimuli than the 32 mN stimuli at both the hand ( $p < 0.001$ ) as well as the

back ( $p < 0.001$  and  $p < 0.05$ , respectively), where participants with chronic low back pain reported statistically significantly higher scores than control participants for both stimuli at both the low back and hand. Cortical activity analysis, focusing on the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC), the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC), and the orbitofrontal cortex (OFC), showed that CLBP subjects, compared with control participants, demonstrated a statistically significant increase in the peak and mean power at the alpha and theta frequencies in the medial OFC, as well as in the high gamma frequency bands in the ACC and the theta frequency bands in the dlPFC.

## Discussion

By analyzing EEG data assessing cortical responses to acute mechanical stimuli applied to participants with CLBP vs. pain-free controls, our data indicate that CLBP participants demonstrated hypersensitivity both at the site of chronic pain and at a non-painful site. Source localized EEG data, meanwhile, suggest distinct cortical mechanisms that underlie hypersensitivity to painful and non-painful sites in these patients.

The changes in the oscillatory activities in the frequency ranges identified in this study have been repeatedly shown to play important roles in previous studies on pain, particularly when evaluating evoked pain stimuli from pain-free participants, where recent animal studies have also shown that theta and gamma oscillations in the ACC and S1 may encode the intensity of pain. One key finding in this study is that whereas hypersensitivity at the site of injury (back) is associated with enhanced theta and alpha oscillations in the contralateral OFC, more generalized, anatomically-nonspecific enhancement in nociceptive response is seen with increased gamma oscillations in the ACC and increased theta oscillations in the contralateral dlPFC. To our knowledge, this is the first report to distinguish between the locations of peripheral noxious stimuli, which is of importance, as there is a need to separate between peripheral hypersensitivity from a more generalized anatomically-diffuse enhancement for pain sensitivity, as treatments often differ. Moreover, peripheral and spinal mechanisms as well as mechanisms in the brain may contribute to hypersensitivity at the site of injury, whereas the brain likely plays a more dominant role in a more generalized form of hyperalgesia.

Our results are compatible with findings from multiple animal studies that specifically investigated hyperalgesia of diffuse distribution, where enhancement in high gamma oscillatory activities in the ACC has been shown to be important and likely play a causal role. The dlPFC, meanwhile, is known to produce top-down pain regulation, and its activation in response to nociceptive inputs has been widely reported in both human and animal literature. Interestingly, it has been shown that sustained power changes from the OFC can be used to detect the presence of chronic pain, whereas transient, evoked pain processing may be found in the ACC. It is possible that peripheral hypersensitivity serves as an index of chronic pain, and that OFC, which has prominent roles in reward processing as well as placebo analgesia, may integrate multiple cognitive functions including disease-threat assessment to process allodynic-type of experiences. Due to the lack of threat at the non-injured site, OFC activity may play a more minor role, as shown by results on cortical response to hand stimulation in our study.

In conclusion, we identified multiple cortical circuit elements that may underlie potential mechanisms on how chronic pain not only confers hypersensitivity at the site of injury but also induces a more anatomically nonspecific form of generalized hypersensitivity. Future studies of larger sample sizes utilizing more detailed analysis, including functional connectivity analysis, will provide further insights on how chronic pain alters normal nociceptive functions in the brain, where source localized EEG data representing abnormal circuit mechanisms for central nociceptive processing in combination with clinical, pain and mood predictors for chronic pain and hyperalgesia, might serve to further develop and explore the potential of using EEG as a potential biomarker for chronic pain.

## References

Tracey, I. (2005). Nociceptive processing in the human brain. *Curr Opin Neurobiol.* 15, 478-87.

May, E. S., Nickel, M. M., Ta Dinh, S., Tiemann, L., Heitmann, H., Voth, I., et al. (2019). Prefrontal gamma oscillations reflect ongoing pain intensity in chronic back pain patients. *Hum Brain Mapp.* 40, 293-305.

Dinh, S. T., Nickel, M. M., Tiemann, L., May, E. S., Heitmann, H., Hohn, V. D., et al. (2019). Brain dysfunction in chronic pain patients assessed by resting-state electroencephalography. *Pain.* 160, 2751.

Shirvalkar, P., Prosky, J., Chin, G., Ahmadipour, P., Sani, O. G., Desai, M., et al. (2023). First-in-human prediction of chronic pain state using intracranial neural biomarkers. *Nat Neurosci.* 26, 1090-99.

Plaghki, L., & Mouraux, A. (2005). EEG and laser stimulation as tools for pain research. *Curr Opin Investig Drugs.* 6, 58-64.

## Disclosures

No

## Tables / Images